Interview Summary 10/551,295 YOUNG	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	YOUNG, ROBERT ALLA	N_	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JAY R. SIGLER	3775	
All participants (applicant, applicant's represen	tative, PTO personnel):		
(1) JAY R. SIGLER.	(3) <u>MICHAEL SCA</u>	LISE.	
(2) <u>EDUARDO ROBERT</u> .	(4) <u>PATRICK WHI</u>	<u>re</u> .	
Date of Interview: <u>13 January 2009</u> .			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conf c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ a		sentative] .	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d If Yes, brief description:)∐ Yes e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>US 6,406,4</u>	78 and US 5,601,553.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was	reached. g)⊠ was not reached.	h)	
Substance of Interview including description of reached, or any other comments: <u>The previous and supervisor further explained the combination the novel aspects of the the instant invention are agreed to wait until the applicant decides wheth case.</u>	rejection and current ammendme on of the prior art. The applicant and will consider filing a supplement	nt was discussed. The examine and representative further explain tal ammendent. The examiner	<u>ned</u>
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, allowable is available, a summary thereof must	where no copy of the amendmen		aims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF requirements on reverse side or on attached she	reply to the last Office action has E LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR F THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY THE INTERVIEW. See Summa	already been filed, APPLICANT THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER	
J. R. S./	SUPERVISO	ARVO (HOBERT DAY PIENT EXAMINER	
Examiner, Art Unit 3775			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)	Interview Summary	// Paper No. 20090	113